

Proceedings of 10<sup>th</sup> International Conference on Precision, Meso, Micro and Nano Engineering (COPEN 10) December 07 – 09, 2017, Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Chennai – 600 036 INDIA



# Application of Nano-Laminar Solid Lubricants in Silicon Nitride Ceramics Grinding

Anil Kumar, S. Ghosh, S. Aravindan Department of mechanical engineering

Indian Institute of Technology, Delhi - 110016, INDIA

#### Abstract

This work discusses the use of nano-laminar solid lubricants in minimum quantity lubrication (MQL) during grinding of silicon nitride by diamond wheel. Nanoparticles of  $MoS_2$  and graphite were mixed in the carrier media (Deionized water) using probe sonicator. Face-centered cubic (FCC) design of experiment has been used to study the grinding characteristics at varying wheel speed, feed rate and depth of cut. The results of the nano MQL conditions were compared with the dry condition and it has been found that laminar solid lubricants significantly reduced the grinding forces, and enhanced the surface quality. The ground surfaces have been also analyzed using scanning electron microscope (SEM). Regression model has been developed to predict the forces. ANOVA has been performed to check the efficacy of the model and the model has been found to be adequate.

Keywords: Grinding, Silicon nitride, nanofluid, ANOVA

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Silicon nitride ceramics have high strength, good wear resistance, high thermal shock resistance and chemical inertness, etc. Due to these attractive properties, silicon nitride has been widely used in aerospace, automotive, chemical industries and other fields [1-6]. Grinding with super-hard abrasives is the conventional material removal process for machining of silicon nitride and other ceramics to achieve the desired tolerances and surface integrity. However, the penetration of cutting tool in ceramics is difficult due to the high degree of hardness and brittleness which leads to increase in tool wear, deteriorates the surface quality and reduces the strength of the machined component[2, 7-9]. During ceramic grinding, the friction between the wheel and the workpiece generate heat in the contact zone and therefore lead to wheel abrasive dulling. Many researchers have made efforts to enhance the machinability and grindability of ceramics by high-speed grinding [8], optimizing machining parameters [9, 10], developing new machining coolants and lubricants [11]. Hwang et al. [8] investigated the grinding performance of ceramics at high speed and found that the grinding performance can be enhanced by reducing the depth of cut and increasing wheel speed. Agarwal [9] optimized the grinding parameters for silicon carbide and indicated that feed rate, depth of cut, grit density and grit size were the major influencing factors for better surface integrity in the ground products. From the literature, it can be concluded that development of new machining coolants and lubricants are required to overcome the adverse effect of heat and friction in the grinding zone. The application of nano-sized laminar solid lubricants in minimum quantity lubrication (MQL) mode helps in reduction of friction and heat between the wheel and workpiece [12, 13]. Emami et al. [11] studied the effect of different types of lubricants on cutting forces, surface roughness and specific energy during near dry (MQL) grinding of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> ceramic. The study revealed that the lubrication technique has more

influence on surface roughness as compared to grinding forces and specific energy. Alberts et al. [12] studied the effect of graphite nanoplatelets during surface grinding of hardened D-2 tool steel and concluded that the smaller size nanoplatelets were more effective in reducing grinding forces, roughness and specific energy. Zhang et al. [13] evaluated the effect of hybrid nanoparticles of MoS<sub>2</sub> and CNT during grinding of difficult to cutting materials (Nialloy). The analysis showed that the hybrid nanoparticles achieved better lubrication in terms of grinding forces, surface finish and coefficient of friction than single nanoparticles. The above-mentioned studies indicate that the nanosize solid lubricants have great potential to reduce the grinding forces, friction and the heat between the mating surfaces. The present study hence focuses on investigating the effect of nanosize solid lubricants during the surface grinding of silicon nitride using resin bonded diamond wheel. The effect of process parameters and the nanosize solid lubricants in MQL mode on grinding forces and surface quality were investigated. The experimental study was conducted using FCC design and the efficacy of the model was checked using analysis of variance (ANOVA)

#### 2. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

Silicon nitride  $(Si_3N_4)$  ceramic of dimension  $20 \times 20 \times 4$  mm was used as workpiece material in the grinding experimentation. The mechanical properties of the workpiece are given in Table 1.

Table 1. Mechanical properties of silicon nitride workpiece

| Den            | Hardn | Bending  | Thermal  | Young's | Fracture  |
|----------------|-------|----------|----------|---------|-----------|
| sity           | ess   | strength | conducti | modulus | toughne   |
|                |       |          | vity     |         | SS        |
| 3.23           | 1500  | 1000     | 20 W/mK  | 308     | 7.2 MPa   |
| Mg/            | HV    | MPa      |          | GPa     | $m^{1/2}$ |
| m <sup>3</sup> | (500g |          |          |         |           |
|                | )     |          |          |         |           |

The experimental study was conducted to investigate the effect of nano graphite and MoS<sub>2</sub> of particle size 400 nm and 500 nm respectively during grinding of silicon nitride on grinding forces and surface finish. The nanopowders were mixed and dispersed in deionized water (carrying media) using ultrasonic probe sonicator. To avoid the quick sedimentation of powders, SDBS (Sodium dodecyl benzene sulfonate) was added in deionized water. The average contact angle (CA°) of graphite nano-fluid and MoS2 nanofluid was measured using Goniometer and found to be 62.3° and 52.4° respectively. The resulting solution was supplied to the grinding zone immediately to avoid the precipitation or the agglomeration of the nanoparticles. The truing of grinding wheel was done with the help of alumina wheel (AA60K5V8) using Brake controlled truing device. The wheel was dressed before each experimentation using alumina stick (WA320E9V18N) to maintain the sharpness of the grits. The experimental parameters used for the experiments are given in Table 2.

Table 2. Grinding parameters

| Workpiece material | Silicon nitride ceramic $(20 \times 20 \times 4 \text{ mm})$ |  |  |  |
|--------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Grinding Wheel     | ASD54R125B2 (Resin bonded)                                   |  |  |  |
| Grinding mode      | Surface grinding, up cut                                     |  |  |  |
| Environmental      | Dry, MQL (Nano graphite nano MoS <sub>2</sub> )              |  |  |  |
| codditions         |  |  |  |  |
| Experimental       | Wheel speed 10m/s, 15 m/s and 20m/s                          |  |  |  |
|                    | Table speed 3 m/min, 6 m/min and 9                           |  |  |  |
|                    | m/min  |  |  |  |
|                    | Depth of cut 10 µm, 20 µm and 30 µm                          |  |  |  |
| MQL conditions     | Flow rate 150 ml/h   |  |  |  |
|                    | Nozzle distance 30mm (from wheel)                            |  |  |  |
|                    | Nozzle height 15 mm (from workpiece)                         |  |  |  |
|                    | Nozzle angle ( $\alpha$ ) = 15°                              |  |  |  |

The onsite measurement of grinding forces have been done using Kistler dynamometer (Type 9257B) attached with charge amplifier and the date have been captured by dyno ware software. The surface roughness of ground samples was measured using Talysurf surface profilometer. For each experimental condition (dry, graphite and MoS<sub>2</sub>) ANOVA was performed using design expert software for forces and surface roughness.

#### 3. Result and discussion

The experimental parameters and respective output responses are given in Table 3. The variation of grinding forces with wheel speed at depth of cut 20 micron and table speed 3 m/min is shown in Fig. 1. Both tangential force and normal force decreases with increase in wheel speed. The variation of grinding forces with table speed at wheel speed of 15 m/s and depth of cut 10 µm is shown in Fig. 2. It can be clearly seen from Fig. 1, Fig. 2, and Table 3 that the application of nanofluids significantly reduced the grinding forces by converting nanoparticles into thin physical film in the grinding zone. At wheel speed 10 m/s, table speed 9 m/min and depth of cut 30 µm, the tangential force is reduced by approximately 30 % and 35 % in case of nano graphite and nano MoS2 respectively as compared to dry condition. The normal force decreases by approximately 14 % and 35 % in case of nano MoS<sub>2</sub> as compared to dry condition at i) wheel speed 15 m/s, table speed 9 m/min and depth of cut 30 µm and ii) wheel speed 15 m/s, table speed 6 m/min and depth of cut 20 micron respectively. It can be seen from Fig. 3, Fig. 4, and Table 3, the surface roughness of the ground surface is lower in case of MoS<sub>2</sub> nanofluid as compared to dry and graphite nanofluid. The MoS2 nanofluid reduced the surface roughness by approximately 41 % and 44% as compared to dry condition at i) wheel speed 15 m/s, table speed 3 m/min and depth of cut 20 µm and ii) wheel speed 15 m/s, table speed 6 m/min and depth of cut 10 µm respectively.

| Run | Wheel | Depth  | Table | Dry                |          | Graphite |                    | MoS <sub>2</sub> |        |            |          |        |
|-----|-------|--------|-------|--------------------|----------|----------|--------------------|------------------|--------|------------|----------|--------|
|     | Speed | of Cut | Speed |                    |          |          |                    |                  | 2      |            |          |        |
|     | m/s   | μm     | m/min | F <sub>T</sub> (N) | $F_N(N)$ | Ra(µm)   | F <sub>T</sub> (N) | $F_N(N)$         | Ra(µm) | $F_{T}(N)$ | $F_N(N)$ | Ra(µm) |
| 1   | 20    | 30     | 9     | 71.27              | 271.98   | 0.56     | 54.6               | 287.15           | 0.49   | 41.4       | 209.41   | 0.34   |
| 2   | 10    | 20     | 6     | 67.84              | 217.19   | 0.51     | 47.44              | 232.64           | 0.45   | 33.37      | 164.86   | 0.37   |
| 3   | 15    | 20     | 6     | 36.84              | 128.62   | 0.49     | 26.18              | 124.24           | 0.43   | 19.39      | 88.98    | 0.35   |
| 4   | 10    | 30     | 3     | 83.48              | 279.28   | 0.51     | 76.37              | 367.53           | 0.46   | 50.92      | 246.05   | 0.30   |
| 5   | 15    | 20     | 3     | 18.73              | 63.76    | 0.51     | 17.57              | 82.04            | 0.45   | 16.6       | 73.93    | 0.28   |
| 6   | 20    | 20     | 6     | 24.36              | 87.67    | 0.54     | 17.51              | 91.06            | 0.54   | 16.28      | 83.22    | 0.37   |
| 7   | 15    | 20     | 6     | 36.85              | 128.3    | 0.57     | 26.79              | 126.68           | 0.49   | 19.06      | 83.35    | 0.32   |
| 8   | 15    | 20     | 9     | 37.23              | 130.92   | 0.53     | 28.57              | 141.55           | 0.48   | 28.73      | 134.93   | 0.33   |
| 9   | 15    | 10     | 6     | 23.45              | 79.49    | 0.53     | 14.27              | 52.65            | 0.46   | 8.7        | 39.11    | 0.30   |
| 10  | 15    | 20     | 6     | 36.75              | 128.38   | 0.57     | 27.53              | 130.84           | 0.48   | 19.71      | 88.61    | 0.32   |
| 11  | 15    | 30     | 6     | 67.09              | 243.72   | 0.58     | 56.7               | 276.41           | 0.53   | 30.14      | 139.51   | 0.39   |
| 12  | 20    | 30     | 3     | 14.94              | 52.69    | 0.53     | 13.69              | 67.89            | 0.48   | 12.54      | 63.87    | 0.33   |
| 13  | 15    | 20     | 6     | 37.39              | 130.41   | 0.53     | 26.09              | 123.86           | 0.48   | 20.59      | 94.49    | 0.32   |
| 14  | 10    | 10     | 3     | 23.55              | 74.61    | 0.53     | 19.84              | 81.7             | 0.49   | 13.35      | 66.22    | 0.33   |
| 15  | 10    | 10     | 9     | 33.63              | 106.4    | 0.51     | 23.97              | 122.12           | 0.44   | 17.12      | 86.71    | 0.30   |
| 16  | 20    | 10     | 3     | 9.69               | 35.64    | 0.58     | 5.52               | 29.85            | 0.50   | 5.42       | 28.05    | 0.38   |
| 17  | 15    | 20     | 6     | 37.12              | 129.48   | 0.66     | 26.79              | 127.13           | 0.53   | 18.75      | 81.99    | 0.40   |
| 18  | 15    | 20     | 6     | 36.43              | 127.18   | 0.54     | 27.12              | 128.24           | 0.51   | 19.67      | 88.43    | 0.36   |
| 19  | 10    | 30     | 9     | 106.18             | 410.17   | 0.57     | 74.32              | 398.2            | 0.49   | 68.99      | 352.22   | 0.37   |
| 20  | 20    | 10     | 9     | 12.2               | 45.05    | 0.54     | 10.79              | 57               | 0.47   | 8.39       | 43.62    | 0.32   |

Table 3. The experimental parameters and respective output responses











Fig. 3. Variation of surface roughness (Ra) with wheel speed at table speed 3 m/min and depth of cut 20  $\mu m$ 

The ground surface morphology under MoS2 is shown in Fig.5.



Fig. 4. Variation of surface roughness (Ra) with Table speed at wheel speed 15 m/s and depth of cut 10  $\mu m$ 

The smooth surface with low irregularities height under nano  $MoS_2$  is due to lubrication effect caused by nanoparticles [3, 13]. In order to check the efficacy of the selected model for the experimental data, analysis of variance for grinding forces and roughness in case of nano  $MoS_2$  is given in Table 4. This analysis showed the influence of input parameters on the grinding forces and surface roughness. The depth of cut is found to be the most influencing factor on grinding forces and surface roughness in dry and nanofluid conditions [14].



Fig. 5. Ground surface morphology under nano  $MoS_2$  condition

### 4. CONCLUSIONS

Grinding of silicon nitride using resin bonded diamond wheel has been conducted under dry and nano MQL conditions. The following conclusions may be drawn from the experiments:

- The application of nano-laminar solid lubricants during grinding of silicon nitride reduced the grinding forces and enhanced the surface finish. The improvement in grinding performance is possibly due to the conversion of nanoparticles into thin physical film on the ground surface.
- The reduction in tangential force, normal force and surface roughness was approximately 35%, 14% and 36% respectively as compared to dry condition at wheel speed 10 m/s, table speed 9 m/min and depth of cut 30 micron.

• The significance of model parameters was determined using ANOVA analysis and the analysis showed that for the adopted range of process parameters, the depth of cut has significant effect on the grinding forces and surface roughness.

Table 4. ANOVA analysis of normal force, tangential force and surface roughness in MoS<sub>2</sub> condition

| Normal Force (Fn) |         |        |               |       |                      |  |  |  |  |
|-------------------|---------|--------|---------------|-------|----------------------|--|--|--|--|
|                   | Sum of  | df     | Mean          | F     | p-value              |  |  |  |  |
|                   | Squares | ur     | Square        | Value | p (ulue              |  |  |  |  |
| Source            | Squares |        | Square        | , and | Prob > F             |  |  |  |  |
| Model             | 105208. | 6      | 17534.7       | 18.9  | < 0.0001             |  |  |  |  |
|                   | 0       |        |               |       | significant          |  |  |  |  |
| A-Wheel           | 23804.1 | 1      | 23804.1       | 25.7  | 0.0002               |  |  |  |  |
| Speed             | 55052.2 | 1      | 55052.2       | 60.0  | 0.0001               |  |  |  |  |
| of Cut            | 55853.2 | 1      | 55853.2       | 60.3  | < 0.0001             |  |  |  |  |
| C-Table           | 12163.7 | 1      | 12163.7       | 13.1  | 0.0031               |  |  |  |  |
| Speed             |         |        |               |       |                      |  |  |  |  |
| AB                | 7425.0  | 1      | 7425.0        | 8.0   | 0.0142               |  |  |  |  |
| AC                | 148.4   | 1      | 148.4         | 0.2   | 0.6955               |  |  |  |  |
| BC                | 5813.7  | 1      | 5813.7        | 6.3   | 0.0263               |  |  |  |  |
| Residual          | 12043.6 | 13     | 926.4         |       |                      |  |  |  |  |
|                   | 120.010 |        | ,20           |       |                      |  |  |  |  |
| Lack of           | 11943.1 | 8      | 1492.9        | 74.3  | < 0.0001             |  |  |  |  |
| Fit               |         |        |               |       | significant          |  |  |  |  |
|                   | Т       | angen  | tial force (F | t)    |                      |  |  |  |  |
| Source            | Sum of  | df     | Mean          | F     | p-value              |  |  |  |  |
|                   | Squares |        | Square        | Value |                      |  |  |  |  |
|                   | 1       |        | 1             |       | Prob > F             |  |  |  |  |
| Model             | 4226.1  | 6      | 704.3         | 28.7  | < 0.0001             |  |  |  |  |
|                   |         |        |               |       | significant          |  |  |  |  |
| A-Wheel           | 994.4   | 1      | 994.4         | 40.5  | < 0.0001             |  |  |  |  |
| Speed             | 2200.4  | 1      | 2200.4        | 02.0  | 0.0001               |  |  |  |  |
| B-Depth           | 2280.4  | 1      | 2280.4        | 93.0  | < 0.0001             |  |  |  |  |
| of Cut            |         |        |               |       |                      |  |  |  |  |
| C-Table           | 433.0   | 1      | 433.0         | 17.7  | 0.0010               |  |  |  |  |
| Speed             |         |        |               |       |                      |  |  |  |  |
| AB                | 303.9   | 1      | 303.9         | 12.4  | 0.0038               |  |  |  |  |
| AC                | 12.5    | 1      | 12.5          | 0.5   | 0.4883               |  |  |  |  |
| BC                | 201.9   | 1      | 201.9         | 8.2   | 0.0132               |  |  |  |  |
| Residual          | 318.8   | 13     | 24.5          |       |                      |  |  |  |  |
| Lack of           | 316.8   | 8      | 39.6          | 97.8  | < 0.0001             |  |  |  |  |
| Fit               |         |        |               |       | significant          |  |  |  |  |
|                   | Sur     | face R | oughness (I   | Ra)   |                      |  |  |  |  |
|                   | Sum of  | df     | Mean          | F     | p-value              |  |  |  |  |
| ~                 | Squares |        | Square        | Value | $\frac{1}{Proh > F}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Source            |         |        |               |       | 1100 > 1             |  |  |  |  |
| Model             | 0.0150  | 6      | 0.0025        | 6.8   | 0.002                |  |  |  |  |
|                   |         |        |               |       | significant          |  |  |  |  |
| A-Wheel           | 0.0035  | 1      | 0.0035        | 9.6   | 0.0085               |  |  |  |  |
| Speed             | 0.0024  | 1      | 0.0024        | 67    | 0.0222               |  |  |  |  |
| B-Deptn           | 0.0024  | 1      | 0.0024        | 0.0   | 0.0255               |  |  |  |  |
| or Cut            | 0.0075  |        | 0.0075        | 20.6  | 0.000.6              |  |  |  |  |
| C-Table<br>Speed  | 0.0075  | 1      | 0.0075        | 20.6  | 0.0006               |  |  |  |  |
| AR                | 0.0006  | 1      | 0.0006        | 1.8   | 0.2067               |  |  |  |  |
|                   | 0.000   | 1      | 0.0000        | 0.6   | 0.2507               |  |  |  |  |
| - RC              | 0.0002  | 1      | 0.0002        | 1 4   | 0.75                 |  |  |  |  |
| <u>_</u>          | 0.0005  | 12     | 0.0005        | 1.4   | 0.200                |  |  |  |  |
| Residual          | 0.0047  | 13     | 0.0004        |       |                      |  |  |  |  |
| Lack of           | 0.0046  | 8      | 0.0006        | 25.9  | 0.0012               |  |  |  |  |
| Fit               |         |        |               |       | significant          |  |  |  |  |

#### References

[1] X.H. Zhang, G.Y. Chen, W.K. An, Z.H. Deng, W. Liu, C. Yang, Experimental Study of Machining Characteristics in Laser Induced Wet Grinding Silicon Nitride, Materials and Manufacturing Processes, 29 (2014) 1477-1482.

[2] W. Liu, Z. Deng, Y. Shang, L. Wan, Effects of grinding parameters on surface quality in silicon nitride grinding, Ceramics International, 43 (2017) 1571-1577.

[3] A. Kumar, S. Ghosh, S. Aravindan, Grinding performance improvement of silicon nitride ceramics by utilizing nanofluids, Ceramics International, 43 (2017) 13411-13421.

[4] O.A. Lukianova, V.V. Sirota, Dielectric properties of silicon nitride ceramics produced by free sintering, Ceramics International, 43 (2017) 8284-8288.

[5] Q. Meng, Z. Zhao, Y. Sun, X. Li, H. Ji, Low temperature pressureless sintering of dense silicon nitride using BaO-Al 2 O 3 -SiO 2 glass as sintering aid, Ceramics International, 43 (2017) 10123-10129.

[6] M.H. Bocanegra-Bernal, B. Matovic, Mechanical properties of silicon nitride-based ceramics and its use in structural applications at high temperatures, Materials Science and Engineering: A, 527 (2010) 1314-1338.

[7] I. Inasaki, Grinding of Hard and Brittle Materials, CIRP Annals - Manufacturing Technology, 36 (1987) 463-471.

[8] T.W. Hwang, C.J. Evans, E.P. Whitenton, S. Malkin, High Speed Grinding of Silicon Nitride With Electroplated Diamond Wheels, Part 1: Wear and Wheel Life, Journal of Manufacturing Science and Engineering, 122 (1999) 32-41.

[9] S. Agarwal, Optimizing machining parameters to combine high productivity with high surface integrity in grinding silicon carbide ceramics, Ceramics International, 42 (2016) 6244-6262.

[10] W. Li, Y. Wang, S. Fan, J. Xu, Wear of diamond grinding wheels and material removal rate of silicon nitrides under different machining conditions, Materials Letters, 61 (2007) 54-58.

[11] M. Emami, M.H. Sadeghi, A.A.D. Sarhan, F. Hasani, Investigating the Minimum Quantity Lubrication in grinding of Al2O3 engineering ceramic, Journal of Cleaner Production, 66 (2014) 632-643.

[12] M. Alberts, K. Kalaitzidou, S. Melkote, An investigation of graphite nanoplatelets as lubricant in grinding, International Journal of Machine Tools and Manufacture, 49 (2009) 966-970.

[13] Y. Zhang, C. Li, D. Jia, D. Zhang, X. Zhang, Experimental evaluation of the lubrication performance of MoS2/CNT nanofluid for minimal quantity lubrication in Ni-based alloy grinding, International Journal of Machine Tools and Manufacture, 99 (2015) 19-33.

[14] S. Shaji, V. Radhakrishnan, Analysis of process parameters in surface grinding with graphite as lubricant based on the Taguchi method, Journal of Materials Processing Technology, 141 (2003) 51-59.